

## Hwang, Jin

---

**From:** Moosbrugger, Earl  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 07, 2016 2:21 PM  
**To:** Kwan, Delon  
**Subject:** RE: WSA - CrossRoads

Delon,

From **below**, I am not sure of difference between “is” and “will be” consistent with RTP.

Does the former mean no GP amendments and the latter mean there are?

Thanks

---

**From:** Kwan, Delon  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 07, 2016 2:01 PM  
**To:** Moosbrugger, Earl; Hwang, Jin  
**Subject:** RE: WSA - CrossRoads

Suggested edits are noted below. Please double check and final edit.

---

**From:** Moosbrugger, Earl  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 07, 2016 10:35 AM  
**To:** Kwan, Delon  
**Cc:** Hwang, Jin  
**Subject:** WSA - CrossRoads

Delon,

I re-wrote middle paragraph of Conclusion for your consideration:

## Conclusion

---

Proposed Project is estimated to increase the total water demand within the site by 440 AF annually. This additional water demand has been accounted for in the City’s overall total demand projections in the 2015 UWMP using a service area-wide approach that does not rely on individual development demands. ~~While this approach does not rely on individual development demands to determine area-wide growth in demands, it’s~~ **The 2015 UWMP utilized** SCAG’s RTP data **that** provides for more reliable water demand forecasts taking into account changes in population, housing units, and employment.

Based on Planning Department’s ~~conclusion determination~~ that **the** Proposed Project is **{make sure to state if project is or will be as there’s a difference}** consistent with the demographic forecasts for the City from the 2012 **SCAG** RTP, LADWP ~~has determined finds~~ that Proposed Project water demand is included in the City’s **2015 UWMP** water demand projection. ~~This is because the 2015 UWMP demand projection is based on demographic inputs from the 2012 RTP and uses a modified unit-use approach to adjust these inputs to the LADWP service area and customer base. Further, this method has proven historically reliable compared to actual consumption, when conservation effects are not considered. Because LADWP has determined through the 2015 UWMP~~ **Furthermore, the 2015 UWMP forecasts**

~~adequate water supplies to meet all projected water demands in the city through the year 2040. the City's projected water supplies available during various hydrologic scenarios in the 25-year projection are sufficient to meet projected service area-wide water demands, and because Proposed Project's projected demand is included in these demands, LADWP has also determined that projected available supplies are sufficient to meet projected water demands for Proposed Project.~~

LADWP therefore concludes that the 440 AFY increase in the total water demand for Proposed Project falls within the available and projected water supplies for normal, single-dry, and multiple-dry years through the year 2040, as described in LADWP's 2015 UWMP. LADWP finds it will be able to meet the proposed water demand of Proposed Project, as well as existing and planned future water demands of its service area.